

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC., a California  
Corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC.,  
a Delaware corporation, INTERNET  
SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC., a Georgia  
corporation, and Symantec  
CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

Case No. 04-1199-SLR

**SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC.'S NOTICE OF DEPOSITION PURSUANT TO  
FED. R. CIV. P. 30(b)(6) TO SYMANTEC CORPORATION**

TO DEFENDANT SYMANTEC CORP., BY AND THROUGH ITS COUNSEL  
OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT, pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, plaintiff SRI International, Inc. ("SRI") will take the deposition of defendant Symantec Corp. ("Symantec") at a mutually agreed upon location, commencing at 9:00 a.m. on December 5, 2005, or at another time agreed upon by the parties, or ordered by the Court. The deposition will continue from day to day thereafter until complete. The deposition will take place upon oral examination pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure before an officer duly authorized by law to administer oaths and record testimony. Some or all of the deposition testimony may be recorded stenographically and may be recorded by videotape. Some or all of the deposition testimony may involve real-time computer connection between the deposition taker and stenographer using software such as "LiveNote."

Pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Symantec is obligated to designate one or more of its officers, directors, or managing agents, and/or one or more other persons who consent to testify on its behalf concerning the matters set forth in Attachment A. Symantec is requested to set forth, for each person designated, the matters on which the person will testify.

Dated: October 17, 2005

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

By: /s/ John F. Horvath

John F. Horvath (#4557)  
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
919 N. Market St., Ste. 1100  
P.O. Box 1114  
Wilmington, DE 19889-1114  
Telephone: (302) 652-5070  
Facsimile: (302) 652-0607

Howard G. Pollack (CA Bar No. 162897)  
Gina M. Steele (CA Bar No. 233379)  
Michael J. Curley (CA Bar No. 230343)  
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
500 Arguello St., Ste. 500  
Redwood City, CA 94063  
Telephone: (650) 839-5070  
Facsimile: (650) 839-5071

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC.

**ATTACHMENT A**

**DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS**

1. “SRI” means SRI International, Inc., including its officers, directors, employees, agents, and attorneys.
2. “Symantec,” “Defendant,” “you,” or “your” means Symantec Corp., a Delaware corporation, including its past and present officers, directors, employees, consultants, agents, and attorneys and others acting or purporting to act on its behalf, and including their predecessors, subsidiaries, parents, and affiliates.
3. The phrase the “‘615 patent” means U.S. Patent No. 6,711,615.
4. The phrase the “‘203 patent” means U.S. Patent No. 6,484,203.
5. The phrase the “‘338 patent” means U.S. Patent No. 6,321,338.
6. The phrase the “‘212 patent” means U.S. Patent No. 6,708,212.
7. The phrase the “‘874 patent” means U.S. Patent No. 6,704,874.
8. The phrase “Patents-in-Suit” means the ’615, ’203, ’338, ’212, and ’874 Patents.
9. The word “Document” is used herein in its broadest sense to include everything that is contemplated by Rule 26 and Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including Documents stored in hard copy or electronic form. Electronic Documents include electronic mail, computer source code, object code, and microcode, and Documents stored on any media accessible by electronic means. A comment or notation appearing on any “Document” and not a part of the original text is to be considered a separate “Document”.
10. “Thing” means any tangible object other than a Document.
11. “Person” or “Persons” include not only natural individuals, but also, without limitation, firms, partnerships, associations, corporations, and other legal entities, and divisions, departments, or other units thereof.
12. “Including” shall mean “including but not limited to.”

13. The terms "and" and "or" shall be construed conjunctively or disjunctively, whichever makes the individual request more inclusive.

14. The singular and masculine form of any noun or pronoun shall embrace and be read and applied as embracing the plural, the feminine, and the neuter, except where circumstances clearly make it inappropriate.

15. "Concerning" means relating to, referring to, describing, discussing, depicting, evidencing, identifying or constituting.

16. The terms "refer," "referring," "relate," or "relating" as used herein include, but are not limited to the following meanings: bearing upon, concerning, constituting, discussing, describing, evidencing, identifying, concerning, mentioning, in connection with, pertaining to, respecting, regarding, responding to, or in any way factually or logically relevant to the matter described in the request.

## **TOPICS**

1. The process(es) followed by Defendant generally in designing, specifying, developing, implementing, testing, and maintaining its software products, including software contained in or used in conjunction with the products identified in Topics Nos. 3 and 5, including without limitation ManHunt, Symantec Security Gateway, Symantec EventManager for Intrusion Protection, and Symantec Security Management System.
2. Defendant's use of version control in designing, specifying, developing, implementing, testing, and maintaining its software products, including software contained in or used in conjunction with the products identified in Topics Nos. 3 and 5, including without limitation ManHunt, Symantec Security Gateway, Symantec EventManager for Intrusion Protection, and Symantec Security Management System.
3. Identification of all products of Defendant since 2001 having, employing, using, including, incorporating and/or enabling intrusion detection, prevention, and/or analysis functionality.
4. Identification of those specific portions of code within the code produced by Defendant relating to intrusion detection, prevention, and/or analysis functionality.
5. Identification of all products of Defendant since 2001 containing code substantially similar to the portions of code identified in Topic No. 4.
6. Identification of persons responsible for the design, specification, development, implementation or testing of the intrusion detection, prevention, and/or analysis functionality of all products identified in Topic Nos. 3 and 5, including without limitation ManHunt, Symantec Security Gateway, Symantec EventManager for Intrusion Protection, and Symantec Security Management System, and their responsibilities.
7. The design, specification, development, implementation and testing of the intrusion detection, prevention, and/or analysis functionality of each product identified in

Topics Nos. 3 and 5, including without limitation ManHunt, Symantec Security Gateway, Symantec EventManager for Intrusion Protection, and Symantec Security Management System.

8. The function, method of operation, and use of the intrusion detection, prevention, or analysis functionality, including the logic flow and execution of the associated source code, of each product identified in Topics Nos. 3 and 5, including without limitation ManHunt, Symantec Security Gateway, Symantec EventManager for Intrusion Protection, and Symantec Security Management System.

9. Statements concerning the intrusion detection, prevention, or analysis functionality of each product identified in Topics Nos. 3 and 5, including without limitation ManHunt, Symantec Security Gateway, Symantec EventManager for Intrusion Protection, and Symantec Security Management System, made in marketing, advertising, or other promotion of these products.

10. Communications with and instruction to customers and potential customers concerning the intrusion detection, prevention, or analysis functionality of each product identified in Topics Nos. 3 and 5, including without limitation ManHunt, Symantec Security Gateway, Symantec EventManager for Intrusion Protection, and Symantec Security Management System.

11. The creation and authorship of manuals and any other customer documentation developed for each product identified in Topics Nos. 3 and 5, including without limitation ManHunt, Symantec Security Gateway, Symantec EventManager for Intrusion Protection, and Symantec Security Management System.

12. Any product comparisons, reviews and/or comments referring to the intrusion detection, prevention, or analysis functionality of each product identified in Topics Nos. 3 and 5, including without limitation ManHunt, Symantec Security Gateway, Symantec EventManager for Intrusion Protection, and Symantec Security Management System.

13. The revenue generated by and/or the income and/or profits realized from the sale and/or licensing of the products identified in Topics Nos. 3 and 5, including without limitation ManHunt, Symantec Security Gateway, Symantec EventManager for Intrusion Protection, and Symantec Security Management System, including, but not limited to, on a monthly, quarterly, and/or yearly basis, by product and date: (a) the units sold and/or licensed; (b) the average sale and/or license price (billed); (c) the gross revenue generated; (d) the gross profit margin, and (e) the net profit margin.

14. The costs associated with the sale and/or licensing of the products identified in Topics Nos. 3 and 5, including without limitation ManHunt, Symantec Security Gateway, Symantec EventManager for Intrusion Protection, and Symantec Security Management System, including, but not limited to, on a monthly, quarterly, and/or yearly basis, by product and date: (a) the costs of goods sold and/or licenses and (b) the average costs of goods sold and/or licensed.

15. Actual and/or proposed licensing, royalties paid, or other payments made to and/or from third parties in connection with the products identified in Topics Nos. 1 and 3, including without limitation ManHunt, Symantec Security Gateway, Symantec EventManager for Intrusion Protection, and Symantec Security Management System.

16. Defendant's first knowledge of the patents-in-suit.

17. Communications between Recourse Technologies, including without limitation its employees Douglass Moran, Wayne Gray, Roderic Bachelor, Fred Kost, William Fitler, and Joshua Thaler, and SRI, including without limitation its employees Peter Neumann, Phillip Porras, Alfonso Valdes, and Keith Skinner.

18. Symantec's acquisition of Recourse Technologies, including the amount paid by Symantec for Recourse Technologies and any valuations or appraisals of Recourse Technologies, the ManHunt product, or any other Recourse Technologies' product relating to computer network intrusion detection, prevention, or analysis.

19. Any opinion (including opinion(s) of counsel) received by Defendant concerning the validity, enforceability, and/or infringement of the patents-in-suit.

20. Defendant's document retention policies from 1998 to the present.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on October 19, 2005, I electronically filed the **SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC.'S NOTICE OF DEPOSITION PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 30(b)(6) TO SYMANTEC CORPORATION**, with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing(s) to the following:

Richard K. Herrmann  
Morris, James Hitchens & Williams LLP  
222 Delaware Avenue, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Wilmington, DE 19801

Attorney for Defendant  
**SYMANTEC CORPORATION**

Richard L. Horwitz  
David E. Moore  
Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP  
Hercules Plaza  
1313 North Market Street, 6th Floor  
P.O. Box 951  
Wilmington, DE 19899

Attorneys for Defendant  
**INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS,  
INC.**

Paul S. Grewal  
Day Casebeer Madrid & Batchelder, LLP  
20300 Stevens Creek Boulevard, Suite 400  
Cupertino, California 95014

Attorney for Defendant  
**SYMANTEC CORPORATION**

Holmes Hawkins, III  
King & Spalding  
191 Peachtree Street, N.E.  
Atlanta, GA 30303-1763

Attorneys for Defendant  
**INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS,  
INC.**

/s/ John F. Horvath  
John F. Horvath